Sphingolipid metabolism and drug resistance in ovarian cancer
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Abstract
Despite progress in understanding molecular aberrations that contribute to the development and progression of ovarian cancer, virtually all patients succumb to drug resistant disease at relapse. Emerging data implicate bioactive sphingolipids and regulation of sphingolipid metabolism as components of response to chemotherapy or development of resistance. Increases in cytosolic ceramide induce apoptosis in response to therapy with multiple classes of chemotherapeutic agents. Aberrations in sphingolipid metabolism that accelerate the catabolism of ceramide or that prevent the production and accumulation of ceramide contribute to resistance to standard of care platinum- and taxane-based agents. The aim of this review is to highlight current literature and research investigating the influence of the sphingolipids and enzymes that comprise the sphingosine-1-phosphate pathway on the progression of ovarian cancer. The focus of the review is on the utility of sphingolipid-centric therapeutics as a mechanism to circumvent drug resistance in this tumor type.
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INTRODUCTION
Ovarian cancer is the fifth leading cause of cancer-related deaths and the leading cause of death among women with gynecological malignancies. Although progress has been made in understanding the biology of ovarian cancer, progress in treating patients with this tumor type has been equivocal. Fewer than half of patients achieve complete remission\(^1\). Seventy-five percent of women are diagnosed with advanced, metastatic disease mainly due to limitations in early detection and nonspecific symptoms. While a majority
of women respond favorably to tumor debulking and frontline chemotherapy with carboplatin and paclitaxel, more than half will relapse within 18 months of diagnosis with drug resistant disease\(^2\).

Development of chemotherapy resistant disease is a fundamental obstacle in treating many tumor types and is a factor in treatment failure for many patients with advanced, disseminated disease. Due to the differences in molecular characteristics and complexities among tumor types, the mechanisms of and pathways involved in the emergence of a chemoresistant phenotype may be unique to specific classes of agents and tumor types. However, general resistance mechanisms include increased drug efflux, enhanced DNA damage response, defective apoptotic signaling, or activation of anti-apoptotic proteins\(^3\). Acquired resistance may include drugs with different mechanisms of action, to which tumors have not yet been exposed. Due to the late stage at which most ovarian cancers are diagnosed, a high proportion of tumors are resistant to platinum-based therapy at diagnosis. Because few alternative therapies are available, the 5-year survival for these patients remains at less than 50%. New treatment strategies are needed.

Manipulation of the sphingolipid-mediated sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) pathway may represent such a strategy. The S1P pathway, including sphingolipid metabolites, regulates multiple cellular processes including proliferation, neovascularization, migration, invasion, and metastasis by controlling cell signal transductions networks that contribute to both tumorigenesis and tumor progression\(^4\-\(^6\). Sphingolipids also contribute to the structural integrity and fluidity characteristics of cell membranes\(^6\,\(^7\). Few therapeutic agents directly target S1P pathway proteins, but this pathway can influence the efficacy of several classes of chemotherapeutic agents, including docetaxel, doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide. Further, aberrations in sphingolipid metabolism are associated with chemoresistance\(^8\-\(^11\). This review details current understanding of how the S1P pathway impacts the development and progression of ovarian cancer and addresses the therapeutic implications of targeting this pathway in this tumor type. The focus of the review is on one of the core lipids of the S1P pathway, ceramide, and on the role of ceramide in inducing tumor cell death.

THE SPHINGOLIPID RHEOSTAT AND CANCER

S1P pathway signaling is regulated primarily by the relative levels of ceramide, sphingosine, and S1P, which comprise the three core lipids of the S1P pathway. These lipids play critical roles in cellular processes such as cell growth, differentiation, death, and motility\(^12\) [Figure 1]. Mechanisms that regulate the synthesis, catabolism, and clearance of these bioactive sphingolipids are tightly coupled to specific stimuli that engage and regulate downstream effectors, distinguishing them from lipids that have predominantly structural functions\(^4\,\(^8\). Adding another layer of complexity, sphingolipid metabolism constitutes an interconnected network comprised of numerous pathways that not only regulate the levels of individual bioactive sphingolipids, but also their interconversion and the sphingolipid balance\(^8\). The concept that the relative levels of the three core lipids are tightly regulated to influence cellular processes is referred to as the ceramide-sphingosine-S1P rheostat model\(^12\). S1P is mitogenic and promotes growth, motility, and angiogenesis, whereas the S1P precursors sphingosine and ceramide mediate anti-proliferative and cytotoxic stress responses including apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, lethal autophagy, and growth suppression \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}\(^8\,\(^13\-\(^15\). These three core sphingolipid metabolites are rapidly interconverted in response to various stimuli such as growth factors, inflammatory stimuli and stress. The resulting changes in relative levels of these lipids, in turn, mediate specific responses\(^8\,\(^15\). For example, cellular stress such as chemotherapy, radiation, or oxidative stress increases levels of ceramide and sphingosine through the activation of \textit{de novo} synthesis, sphingomyelin hydrolysis, or the salvage pathway which recycles sphingosine to promote apoptosis. However, tumor cells often have relatively low levels of ceramide, due to increased activities of ceramide metabolizing enzymes such as glucosylceramide synthase (GCS), sphingomyelin synthase (SMS), ceramide
kinase (CERK), acid ceramidase (AC), or sphingosine kinase (SPHK). These enzymes convert ceramide to glucosylceramide (GlcCer), sphingomyelin, ceramide-1-phosphate (C1P), or S1P sphingolipids, respectively, each of which has pro-survival activity\[^{7,11,12}\]. In normal cells, the tightly regulated balance of synthesis and degradation of sphingosine, ceramide and S1P maintains sphingosine and S1P levels ~10-fold lower than the level of ceramide\[^{16}\]. Of note, hydrolysis of less than 3% of ceramide can exponentially increase sphingosine and subsequent S1P levels\[^{12}\]. The interdependent nature of sphingolipid metabolism facilitates rapid

---

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) pathway. Summary of sphingolipid degradation and synthesis and major components of the S1P metabolic pathway implicated in ovarian cancer progression and drug resistance. SMase: sphingomyelinase; CDase: ceramidase; SPHK1/2: sphingosine kinase 1/2; SPNS2: Spinster homolog 2; ABC transporter: ATP-binding cassette transporters, ABCA1, ABCC1, and ABCG1; S1PR1,2,3,4,5: S1P receptor; SMS: sphingomyelin synthase; GCS: glucosylceramide synthase; GlcCer: glucosylceramide; CERT: ceramide transport protein; SPT: serine palmitoyltransferase; CerS: ceramide synthase; SPPase: S1P Phosphatases
interconversion among core lipids, to orchestrate diverse cellular responses\cite{4,5}. The complex regulation of the S1P pathway varies with cell type, and the abundance or deficiency of sphingolipids and their respective metabolizing enzymes make it challenging to define the mechanisms that regulate initiation or progression of a particular tumor type\cite{4,6}. This review focuses on the function and potential utility of the S1P pathway as a therapeutic target in ovarian cancer.

**SPHINGOLIPID METABOLISM**

S1P synthesis is tightly regulated by the metabolism of ceramide. Ceramide sits at the hub of sphingolipid metabolism as the neutral, lipid building block for complex sphingolipids and glycosphingolipids, serving as a substrate for more than 11 different enzymes\cite{12} [Figure 1]. Ceramide biosynthesis occurs either from the breakdown of membrane-resident sphingomyelin by sphingomyelinases or de novo from the condensation of serine and palmitoyl-CoA, catalyzed by serine palmitoyltransferase (SPT) to form 3-ketosphinganine. Subsequently, it is reduced to sphinganine by 3-ketosphinganine reductase and synthesized into dihydroceramide by (dihydro) ceramide synthases. Referred to as ceramide synthases (CERS1-6), these are the rate-limiting enzymes for the synthesis of endogenous ceramide, with different fatty acyl-CoA substrate preferences. Various chain-length ceramides are ultimately generated as the product of dihydroceramide desaturase\cite{12,18}. The de novo formation of ceramide is induced by several stress-factors including tumor necrosis factor-α, hypoxia, and chemotherapeutic agents\cite{19}. Ceramide can be converted to sphingomyelin by sphingomyelin synthase, glycosylated by glucosylceramide synthase (GCS) to form glucosylceramide, or ceramide can be hydrolyzed to form sphingosine by ceramidases (acid, neutral, or alkaline), characterized by the pH required for optimal enzymatic activity\cite{7,20}. Ultimately, sphingosine is phosphorylated by sphingosine kinase isozymes (SPHK1 or SPHK2) to generate the bioactive lysophospholipid S1P. Through a range of different pathways, S1P acts as both an extracellular and intracellular signaling molecule. S1P can be transported out of the cell by members of the ABC transporter family and by spinster homolog 2 (Spns2), where it exists in high nanomolar concentrations in the blood\cite{21}. Upon exit from the cell, S1P engages with 5 specific G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), referred to as S1PR1-5, in an autocrine or paracrine manner to induce downstream signal transduction cascades that promote proliferation and migration\cite{11,16}. Alternatively, S1P can be rapidly metabolized by S1P phosphatases to reform sphingosine or irreversibly cleaved by S1P lyase (SGPL1) to yield phosphoethanolamine and hexadecanol, the final step in sphingolipid degradation\cite{7,12,16}. Sustaining the flux between S1P generation and degradation is critical in regulating the balance of sphingolipids and plays a key role in pathological processes of tumorigenesis\cite{7,22}. Specifically, with respect to ovarian cancer, S1P has been indicated to contribute to metastatic potential by stimulating the migration, chemotaxis, and invasion of ovarian cancer cells in several studies\cite{23-26}. S1P may inhibit or enhance migration and invasion in a cell-type- and concentration-dependent manner\cite{25}.

**SPHINGOLIPIDS IN OVARIAN CANCER AND DRUG RESISTANCE**

Several literature have implicated dysregulated sphingolipid metabolism as key contributor of the progression and resistance of ovarian cancer. Using RNA-seq to compare and identify transcriptional variants between matched pairs of carboplatin and paclitaxel-treated vs. control patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models of ovarian cancer, Dobbin and colleagues identified S1P signaling in the top three most transcriptionally altered pathways following chemotherapy treatment\cite{27}. Sphingolipid metabolizing enzymes directly involved in regulating the ceramide-sphingosine-S1P rheostat play a crucial role in cell survival and have been directly correlated with drug resistance in ovarian cancer\cite{20,28}. Specifically, increased expressions of ceramide transport protein (CERT), SphK1, SphK2, and glucosylceramide synthase (GCS) have been associated with resistance to paclitaxel, doxorubicin, and N-(4-hydroxyphenyl) retinamide (fenretinide) chemotherapies and apoptotic responses\cite{29-34}.

Because altered levels or activity of bioactive sphingolipids regulate biological processes that influence tumor progression, several laboratories have investigated approaches to increase levels of the proapoptotic lipid
ceramide and to decrease levels of the antiapoptotic lipid S1P\cite{7,13,15}. With respect to ovarian cancer, the higher levels of S1P present in the ascites fluid of ovarian cancer patients skew the ratio of the three core lipids, to promote proliferation, angiogenic potential, and dissemination of ovarian tumors\cite{11,15}. Further, increased levels of sphingomyelin, glucosylceramide, and galactosylceramide have been postulated to confer a multidrug resistant phenotype in ovarian cancer cells\cite{29,36,37}. Consistent with these observations, the level of ceramide is lower in ovarian tumor cells than in normal ovarian tissue and is further attenuated in paclitaxel-resistant compared to paclitaxel-sensitive ovarian cancer cells, again skewing the ratio of ceramide:S1P in favor of the anti-apoptotic lipid S1P in tumor cells\cite{8,14}. These studies suggest that the balance between ceramide and S1P levels is critical in mediating drug-sensitivity and survival of tumor cells, which underscore targeting the rheostat for the evaluation of rational anticancer regimens.

**PUTATIVE MECHANISMS BY WHICH CORE LIPIDS REGULATE APOPTOSIS**

While development of such therapies may be challenging because of the rapid interconversion among core lipid components, it is well documented that increases in proapoptotic ceramide occur through a variety of mechanisms: (1) \textit{de novo} synthesis, the conversion of serine and palmitoyl-CoA to ceramide via multiple steps; (2) hydrolysis of sphingomyelin; (3) inhibition of ceramide hydrolysis; and (4) hydrolysis of glucosylceramide (or inhibition of glucosylceramide)\cite{15}. Induction of apoptosis by ceramide also occurs through multiple mechanisms: first, apoptosis through mitochondrial activation by forming ceramide platforms on cell membranes which subsequently invaginate and fuse with the mitochondria; also known as “the kiss of death”, ultimately leading to the induction of apoptosis. Second, ceramide can also form channels in mitochondrial membranes, to induce mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP). MOMP, in turn, promotes the release of apoptotic proteins such as cytochrome c and low molecular weight intermembrane space proteins into the cytoplasm\cite{15}. Radiation-induced ceramide accumulation has been shown to function as a second messenger to activate the intrinsic apoptosis pathway and induce senescence through inhibition of telomerase activity, an enzyme overexpressed in approximately 90% cancer cells, enabling cells to escape senescence and acquire immortality\cite{8,11,15}. Recently, El Kaffas \textit{et al.}\cite{39} demonstrated that activation of the acid sphingomyelinase-ceramide pathway is necessary for radiosensitization following ultrasound-stimulated microbubble (USMB) exposure. This study is the first to investigate and highlight the role of acid sphingomyelinase-ceramide signaling in USMB-mechanotransductive vascular therapy, showing minimal tumor cell death and responses in S1P-treated and acid-sphingomyelinase knockout mice compared to wild-type mice implanted with fibrosarcoma xenografts. Approaches to increase ceramide levels merit investigation.

Alternatively, approaches to decrease the anti-apoptotic lipid S1P\cite{7,11,13} may also be useful therapeutically. S1P blocks apoptosis by stabilizing mitochondrial membrane potential, thus preventing cytochrome c release from the mitochondria\cite{40}. Specifically, with respect to ovarian cancer, S1P has been indicated to contribute to metastatic potential by stimulating the migration, chemotaxis, and invasion of ovarian cancer cells in several studies\cite{23-26}. S1P may inhibit or enhance migration and invasion in a cell-type- and concentration-dependent manner\cite{23}. The antiapoptotic activity of S1P can be influenced by the level of S1P receptor expression, character of preexisting stress fibers, and levels of enzymes involved in extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling and invasion\cite{24,41}. Angiogenesis supports invasion and metastasis of solid tumors. S1P induces expression and/or secretion of several pro-angiogenic cytokines such as VEGF, IL-8, and IL-6, to promote vascular network formation\cite{42,43}. Further the expression of SPHK1 and S1PR1/3 was correlated with microvascular density of ovarian cancer tissue, and inhibition of SPHK1 or S1PR1/3 attenuated angiogenic potential and angiogenic factor secretion of ovarian cancer cells \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}\cite{42}.
Thus, therapeutic approaches would aim to promote ceramide accumulation and suppress S1P accumulation, to inhibit tumor growth and overcome drug resistance.

**SPHK1 AND SPHK2**

Despite their metabolic redundancy for generating S1P, SPHK1 and SPHK2 possess distinct, cell type-dependent characteristics, with differences in level of expression and intracellular localization[11,44,45]. SPHK1 localizes primarily in cytosol and cell membrane, whereas SPHK2 localizes at the nucleus, mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum (ER). These distinct subcellular distributions have been cited as the factors that determine the divergent biochemical roles of SPHK1 and SPHK2[45,46]. Of the two, SPHK1 is better characterized and high levels of expression of this enzyme have been shown to promote oncogenic transformation, tumor growth, and drug resistance in ovarian cancer cells[29,47-49]. The oncogenic signaling mediated by SPHK1 depends on its activation, translocation to the plasma membrane, and subsequent catalysis of sphingosine to S1P[13,50]. SPHK1 activity and expression are augmented by a range of agonists including protein kinase activators, tyrosine kinase growth factors, GPCR ligands, proinflammatory cytokines, and calcium[11]. Interestingly, p53 activation in response to DNA damage can mediate proteolytic cleavage and inactivation of SPHK1, to promote the initiation of apoptosis[51]. mRNA and protein levels of SPHK1 are higher in primary ovarian tumors compared to their non-cancerous tissues and are associated with reduced 5-year survival[52-54]. Elevated SPHK1 expression accelerates the conversion of ceramide to S1P, while removing ceramide from the biosynthetic pool via dihydrosphingosine phosphorylation; thus, playing a role in regulating cellular ceramide levels[8,53]. In a recent study, Lee et al.[29] examined the antiproliferative effect of siRNA targeting SPHK1 combined with the sphingosine analog FTY720 in cultured EOC cell lines, and in xenografts and a patient-derived xenograft (PDX) model of clear cell carcinoma (CCC) in mice. SPHK1-siRNA plus FTY720 inhibited proliferation and invasion, and increased apoptosis in chemotherapy-resistant as well as -sensitive models of EOC in vitro. Furthermore, treatment with FTY720 in vivo inhibited tumor growth and proliferation ($P < 0.05$) in cell line-derived xenografts models and a PDX model of CCC[29]. These data support the hypothesis that targeting SPHK1 has a therapeutic potential in ovarian cancer. In another study, SPHK1 was shown to be highly expressed in the tumor stroma of HGSOC and required for the differentiation and tumor promoting function of cancer-associated fibroblasts[55].

Compared to the extensively investigated SPHK1 isoform, the functions and mechanisms of SPHK2 in cancer remain largely elusive and the roles of SPHK2 in cancer cells are not fully understood with inconsistencies in published data[44,56]. For example, Liu et al.[55] revealed SPHK2 contains a putative BH3 motif, which is essential in the activation and initiation of apoptosis by BH3-only proteins, and mutations in the highly conserved catalytic domain decreased its ability to induce apoptosis in NIH 3T3 fibroblasts, human embryonic kidney (HEK293), PC12 pheochromocytoma, and MCF-7 breast cancer cells. In contrast, work by Gao and Smith[58] demonstrated that SPHK2 contributed to proliferation and survival of breast adenocarcinoma cells and kidney clear cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma cells in vitro. Additional studies conducted using SPHK2-siRNA indicate that decreased expression of SPHK2 enhanced apoptosis and decreased resistance to etoposide and doxorubicin in cell lines derived from lung, breast, and colon tumors[59,60]. Further, the specific localization of SPHKs also contributes to the cell function. Nuclear localized SPHK2 and S1P have been reported to have anti-proliferative roles; through which SPHK2 forms a repressor complex with histone H3 and histone deacetylase 1 and 2 (HDAC1/2) producing S1P that regulates of histone acetylation, as a part of epigenetic regulation of gene expression[55,56,61]. Alternatively, nuclear SPHK2-derived S1P has been shown to bind hTERT and allosterically mimic protein phosphorylation which limits proteasomal degradation and maintains telomere integrity and stabilization, thereby, bypassing replicative senescence and enhancing tumor growth[44]. Data for the function of SPHK2 in ovarian cancer cells are limited. Dai et al.[45] showed that SPHK1, but not SPHK2, expression was correlated with microvascular density (MVD) of ovarian cancer cells and that the angiogenic factor secretion by ovarian cancer cells could be attenuated by SPHK1, but not SPHK2 inhibition and subsequently restored upon addition of S1P. Alternatively, few stimuli have been
shown to induce SPHK2-mediated S1P formation, such as epidermal growth factor (EGF), PMA, TGFβ, and FcεRI triggering.[29]

CERAMIDE TRANSPORT AND METABOLISM

*De novo* ceramide biosynthesis pathway is initiated at the cytosolic leaflet of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), where the enzymes required for ceramide synthesis localize. Ceramide is subsequently transported to vesicular or non-vesicular loci.[19] Ceramide either undergoes vesicular trafficking to the cis-Golgi where it is converted to glucosylceramide (GlcCer) or gets transported to the trans-Golgi where it is preferentially incorporated into sphingomyelin.[20,62] The ceramide transfer protein CERT, encoded by the COL4A3BP gene, regulates this non-vesicular transport, to control the conversion of ceramide to sphingomyelin by sphingomyelin synthase (SMS).[7,19,63,64] siRNA-mediated silencing of COL4ABP sensitizes diverse cell types, including ovarian, colorectal, and HER2-positive breast cancer cells to doxorubicin, cisplatin, 5-FU, and paclitaxel. The mechanism by which this sensitization occurs is thought to be through the induction of ceramide-mediated ER stress or lysosome-associated membrane glycoprotein 2 (LAMP2)-dependent autophagic flux. Consistent with this hypothesis, drug-resistant SKOV3-TR ovarian cancer and ADR/RES breast cancer cells express relatively high levels of CERT, and silencing of COL4ABP sensitizes these cell lines to paclitaxel-induced cell death.[7,28,32] Thus, inhibition of ceramide metabolism via targeting CERT-mediated trafficking of ceramide as well as conversion into glycosphingolipids may provide a novel strategy for sensitizing ovarian cancer cells to several classes of chemotherapeutic agents.

Glucosylceramide synthase (GCS) transfers glucose from UDP-glucose to ceramide to form glucosylceramide, the precursor for approximately 90% of mammalian glycosphingolipids (GSLs). Both ceramide and GSLs play critical roles in modulating cellular signaling and gene expression, and thus modulating tumorigenesis, cancer progression, and the efficacies of cancer therapies.[65,66] Ceramide glycosylation by GCS is the rate-limiting step in glycosphingolipid synthesis and is essential in regulating the balance between apoptotic ceramide and antiapoptotic glucosylceramide.[20] Comparison of GSL expressions using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-mass spectroscopy (MALDI-MS) and MALDI-MS/MS showed increased and differential glycosylation of GSLs in the epithelial ovarian cancer SKOV3 cell line compared to the nontumorigenic epithelial ovarian T29 cell line, with five neutral globo-series GSLs detected only in the SKOV3 cell line.[66] Several studies have highlighted the influence of ceramide and glycolipid metabolism on function and expression of genes involved in response and metabolism of chemotherapies such as cisplatin, doxorubicin, vinblastine, paclitaxel and inflammatory responses to physiological stimuli such as tumor necrosis factor-α and cyclooxygenase-2.[34,65,67-71] In mechanistic studies associated with clinical trials, overexpression of GCS has been associated with poor prognosis and multidrug resistance in several tumor types including ovarian, breast, and colorectal cancers. These observations suggest that high levels of GCS expression merits investigation as a biomarker of clinical response or tumor progression.[20,70,72,73] Therefore, targeting the metabolism (and glycosylation) of ceramide presents an effective strategy for anticancer drug development to potentiate cellular sensitivity to ceramide-induced cell death and chemotherapeutics. These studies underscore ceramide’s essential role in mediating signaling cascades in response to cellular stressors such as physiological stimuli, chemotherapy, and ionizing radiation and provide rationale to investigate therapeutic strategies that target the metabolism (and glycosylation) of ceramide as anticancer treatments to potentiate cellular sensitivity to ceramide-induced cell death.

Interestingly, GCS overexpression is sometimes coincidental with overexpression of the multidrug resistance 1 gene (MDR1) in drug-resistant breast, ovary, cervical and colon cancer cells.[69] MDR1 encodes the drug efflux transporter P-glycoprotein (P-gp), which facilitates export of several classes of chemotherapeutic agents including Vinca alkaloids, anthracyclines, paclitaxel, actinomycin D, and epipodophyllotoxins.[68] Furthermore, Liu et al.[34] demonstrated that suppression of GCS enhanced sensitivity to doxorubicin and restored ceramide-mediated, p53-dependent apoptosis in vitro and in vivo in p53 mutant OVCAR-8, NCI/ADR-RES, and A2780ADR.
ovarian cancer cells. Mechanistically, GCS suppression increased long chain C18- and C24-ceramide species which, in turn, modulate pre-mRNA splicing to restore wild-type p53 expression.[74]. However, in another cell type, inhibition of GCS expression resulted in cytokinetic dysfunction and multinucleation of human cervical adenocarcinoma (HeLa) cells, which have been associated with chemoresistance[75]. Consequently, while inhibition of GCS, to prevent the metabolism of ceramide to glucosylceramide, may provide an effective means to circumvent drug resistance, the effects of inhibiting GCS may be cell type-dependent and the utility of this approach needs additional studies before inhibition of GCS is considered a useful therapeutic approach.

SPHINGOLIPID-BASED ANTICANCER THERAPEUTICS

As discussed above, sphingolipids play a regulatory role in determining cell fate. Multiple approaches have been investigated for influencing sphingolipid metabolism, to overcome drug resistance in ovarian cancer cells. Approaches that have demonstrated efficacy in ovarian cancer models include the use of synthetic ceramide analogs, inhibitors of SPHK, neutralization of secreted S1P, and S1PR antagonists. Studies addressing each approach are summarized below and tabulated in Table 1.

CERAMIDE ANALOGS

Cell-permeable ceramide analogs or mimetics induce apoptosis in cancer cells.[76]. The solubility and bioavailability of such analogs has been increased by replacing the long-chain fatty acid of endogenous ceramide with short chain fatty acids (C2-, C6-, or C8-), or by encapsulating ceramide in liposomes or polymeric nanoparticles.[9]. As a single “agent” bioavailable ceramide increases intracellular ceramide levels and induces apoptosis. Even greater efficacy has been reported when ceramide analogs or formulations are combined with more conventional chemotherapeutic agents.[77-79]. As a first example, CAOV3 ovarian cancer cells exposed to C6-ceramide and paclitaxel demonstrated high levels of endocytic vesicle formation and synergy in inhibiting cell proliferation and migration.[37,80]. A second example, the combination of paclitaxel with C6-ceramide-encapsulated in poly(ethylene oxide)-modified poly(epsilon-caprolactone) (PEO-PCL) nanoparticles restored sensitivity of taxane-resistant SKOV3.TR ovarian cancer cells to paclitaxel.[77]. A third example, Zhu et al.[81] demonstrated that C6-ceramide and the histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDACI) trichostatin A (TSA) were synergistic in models of ovarian and pancreatic cancer in vitro and in vivo. The synergistic effects of this combination were attributed to increases in α-tubulin hyperacetylation and intracellular ceramide accumulation, the release and activation of protein phosphatase 1 (PP1), and subsequent dephosphorylation of AKT.[81].

Preclinical data that support the use of ceramide nanoliposomes (CNL) are available for several preclinical tumor models of hepatocellular carcinoma, breast, melanoma, and ovarian cancers, and leukemia.
models. These studies provided strong support for the FDA phase I first-in-man-dose-escalation study in patients with advanced solid tumors (NCT02834611). Mechanistically, using SKOV3 ovarian cancer cells, Zhang et al. made the novel observation that CNL targets the pseudokinase mixed lineage kinase like (MLKL) domain to induce necroptosis in vitro and in vivo. Their findings demonstrated an inverse relationship between monomeric MLKL expression and CNL efficacy and suggest that MLKL expression may serve as a biomarker of therapeutic efficacy of CNL-based therapy. Also, Kitatani et al. demonstrated that C6-ceramide liposomes suppressed ovarian cancer cell motility in vitro and inhibited peritoneal metastasis in a murine xenograft model. The study by Kitatani et al. also showed that C6-ceramide liposomes suppressed ovarian cancer cell motility in vitro and inhibited peritoneal metastasis in a murine xenograft model in vivo. Furthermore, metastasis of PI3KC2β knocked-down xenografts were insensitive to treatment with ceramide liposomes, suggesting the role of ceramide as a metastasis-suppressor lipid and an involvement of ceramide interaction with PI3KC2β in metastasis suppression.

S1P-SPECIFIC ANTIBODIES
S1P-specific murine (LT1002, Sphingomab) and humanized (LT1009, Soncpcizumab) monoclonal antibodies bind and neutralize S1P, and inhibit the activity of the endogenous enzyme by lowering circulating S1P. Although inactive, S1P-Ab complexes bind to the S1P receptor (S1PR) and competitively inhibit the binding of the active endogenous enzyme to this receptor, thereby decreasing the S1P pathway function. Anti-S1P antibodies reduced the expression and activity of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α), secretion of the angiogenic factors IL-6, IL-8, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and basic fibroblastic growth factor (bFGF) and decreased vessel formation in in vitro and in vivo models of ovarian, breast, prostate and lung cancers. The antiangiogenic effect of S1P antagonism in preclinical models led to the evaluation of Soncpcizumab in a phase II clinical trial in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC) who were refractory to anti-VEGF therapy. Forty patients who had undergone a median of three prior regimens were enrolled. Patients achieved a median overall survival of 21.7 months was observed, but the study did not achieve its primary endpoint of a 2-month progression-free survival. While Soncpcizumab demonstrated an encouraging overall survival of > 20 months in a heavily pretreated population of patients with mRCC, only 10% (4 patients) demonstrated a partial response, with a median duration of response of 5.9 months. Interestingly, biomarker studies showed simultaneous increases in serum S1P and antibody concentrations, but no significant association was found between response to therapy and increases in S1P levels.

FTY720
FTY720 (Fingolimod) is an FDA-approved, first-line, immunomodulatory therapy for relapsing multiple sclerosis, an inflammatory disorder of the central immune system. FTY720 is a sphingosine analog derived from the potent serine palmitoyltransferase (SPT) inhibitor myriocin, and is a prodrug phosphorylated primarily by SPHK2 to generate P-FTY720 which is a structural analog of S1P. FTY720 functions as an antagonist of S1PR1, thereby sequestering circulating lymphocytes in lymphoid tissues. In addition to its primary indication as an S1PR ligand and immunosuppressive role, FTY720 has shown antitumor efficacy in multiple in vitro and in vivo models. FTY720 impacts multiple cell functions and pathways including motility, proliferation, death, angiogenesis, inflammation, and S1P. FTY720 has been demonstrated as a competitive inhibitor (with sphingosine) of SPHK1 with a Kic of 2 μmol/L and destabilizes SPHK1 by facilitating SPHK1 degradation via ubiquitination in human pulmonary artery smooth muscle, breast cancer, and androgen-independent prostate cancer cells. Although somewhat controversial, compelling evidence suggests that the anticancer effects of FTY720 are independent of phosphorylation and that the “prodrug” FTY720 is an active antitumor agent. Due to differences in the expression levels and tissue distributions of SPHK2, FTY720-P phosphatases, and the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters ABCA1, ABCB1, ABCC1, and ABCG2, as well as the multipass transmembrane family protein SPNS2, the concentration of FTY720-P differs between cells and tissues. Therefore, even when the intended use of
FTY720 is as an antitumor agent, relatively high levels of FTY720-P are likely to be present in lymphoid tissue and to exert a potent immunosuppressive effect\(^{[109,111,112]}\). Notably, tumor cells resistant to radiation and conventional chemotherapeutic agents such as cisplatin, topotecan, doxorubicin, etoposide, and tamoxifen are sensitive to FTY720 as a single agent and show additive or synergistic effects when combined with chemotherapy or radiation. FTY720 has been shown to potentiate the effects of these agents in models of ovarian, glioblastoma, prostate, breast, colon, melanoma and pancreatic cancers\(^{[61,104,113-116]}\). These preclinical data support the use of FTY720 and this agent is currently being evaluated in combination with radiation and temozolomide in a phase I clinical trial in newly diagnosed high grade glioma patients (NCT02490930)\(^{[117]}\). FTY720 is toxic to ovarian cancer cells independent of their sensitivity to cisplatin, carboplatin, and paclitaxel (Kreitzburg and Yoon, unpublished data) and can initiate both autophagic and necrotic death and apoptosis\(^{[29,104,106]}\). In ovarian cancer cell lines, FTY720 inhibited SPHK1 activity, angiogenesis, invasion, and proliferation. Furthermore, administration of FTY720 to mice bearing cell line xenograft and PDX models of ovarian cancer inhibited tumor growth\(^{[29]}\).

**SPHK INHIBITORS**

Because S1P contributes to cancer progression and drug resistance, the SPHK enzymes that generate S1P are also potentially useful targets for cancer therapy. Safingol (L-threo-dihydrosphingosine), a synthetic isomer of sphinganine, is the first molecule designed to inhibit SPHK1/2 to be evaluated in clinical trial. Safingol functions as a competitive inhibitor of SPHK1/2 and an inhibitor of ceramide kinase to increase ceramide levels. This compound also appears to inhibit protein kinase C, by an unknown mechanism\(^{[118,119]}\). In vitro data demonstrate that combinations of safingol with agents such as doxorubicin, cisplatin, or mitomycin C are synergistic in models of ovarian, colon, breast, cervical, and head and neck squamous cell cancer models. These combinations increase apoptosis and lethal autophagy induced by these conventional drugs as single agents. Based on in vitro data, safingol was combined with cisplatin for treatment of patients with advanced solid tumors in trial NCT0084812. Although safingol possesses limited activity as a single-agent in vivo, it potentiates the efficacy of cisplatin with little or no increase in toxicity and is being further evaluated in a phase I clinical trial in combination with fenretinide in patients with relapsed malignancies (NCT01553071)\(^{[118]}\).

The SPHK1 isozyme has been extensively characterized and its diverse functions in tumor progression documented, while SPHK2 has not been as well characterized and its primary physiological functions are controversial\(^{[44,45,57,120,121]}\). Despite incomplete characterization of function and mechanism, in vitro and preclinical in vivo data document that the SPHK2-specific inhibitor ABC294640 has been shown to inhibit proliferation of tumor cells or tumors more effectively or similarly than agents that target SPHK1 in several tumor models, including ovarian\(^{[122]}\), multiple myeloma\(^{[123]}\), lung\(^{[124]}\), kidney\(^{[58]}\), breast\(^{[58,125]}\), prostate\(^{[126]}\), and pancreatic cancers\(^{[127]}\). Mechanistically, siRNA-targeted knockdown of SPHK2 expression inhibits ERK-mediated proliferation, invasion, and migration greater than knockdown of SPHK1 in kidney and breast tumor models\(^{[122]}\). In models of chemoresistant breast and ovarian cancer, ABC29460 decreases cell survival in a dose-dependent manner. Further, ABC29460 suppressed pancreatic and lung tumor growth. The proposed mechanisms for this inhibition in cell survival are inhibition of telomerase stability in lung tumor models and suppression of Myc and ribonucleotide-diphosphate reductase subunit M2 (RRM2) expression in pancreatic cancer cell lines\(^{[122,125,127,128]}\). Guan et al.\(^{[124]}\) demonstrated in lung cancer cells that PDPMP-mediated inhibition or knockdown of GCS potentiated ABC294640-induced antitumor activity, increased intracellular levels of ceramide, and increased apoptosis, whereas forced overexpression of GCS abrogated ABC294640 cytotoxicity against lung cancer cells. Clinically, a recently completed phase I trial ABC294640 for solid tumor patients reported acute biphasic reductions in plasma levels of S1P over 24-hour increments; however, this effect was independent of dose administered\(^{[129]}\). ABC294640 is currently being evaluated in several other clinical trials, including a phase II trial as second-line monotherapy for patients
with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (NCT02939807), a phase IIa study for treatment of patients with advanced cholangiocarcinoma (NCT03377179), and a phase Ib/II safety and efficacy study as a single agent in patients with refractory/relapsed multiple myeloma (NCT02757326).

TAMOXIFEN AS AN INHIBITOR OF SPHINGOLIPID METABOLISM AND MODULATOR OF DRUG RESISTANCE
Tamoxifen is a standard of care drug for treatment of breast cancer, and functions as a selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) to competitively inhibit estradiol-estrogen receptor (ER) interaction\textsuperscript{[130]}. Independent of ER status, tamoxifen has oncolytic activity thought to be mediated by multiple mechanisms including inhibition of sphingolipid metabolism and inhibition of the activity of the drug efflux transporter P-glycoprotein (P-gp)\textsuperscript{[71,131,132]}. Previous literature indicated that tamoxifen enhanced the therapeutic efficacy of a wide range of agents such as paclitaxel, cisplatin, vincristine, and fenretinide in drug-resistant cancer models of colon, prostate, ovarian cancer, and neuroblastoma\textsuperscript{[30,69,131,133,134]}. The antiproliferative effect of tamoxifen has been proposed to depend on inhibition of acid ceramidase and GCS activity, and the resulting increase in ceramide levels\textsuperscript{[131,132]}. Devalapally et al.\textsuperscript{[30]} examined the \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} efficacy of encapsulated tamoxifen and paclitaxel using PEO-PCL nanoparticles in paclitaxel-resistant SKOV3. TR and wildtype SKOV3 ovarian cancer cells. As would be predicted, in tumor cells \textit{in vitro} or tumor models \textit{in vivo}, this formulation increased the efficiency of drug delivery, and intracellular drug retention, and increased intracellular ceramide levels and induction of apoptosis\textsuperscript{[30]}. The data demonstrated that the combination of tamoxifen and paclitaxel decreased tumor volume and weight, induced apoptosis, and decreased GCS expression compared to control tumors. Additionally, our lab observed that the combination of tamoxifen and FTY720 inhibits proliferation of both ERα-positive and ERα-negative drug-resistant cell lines and an ERα-positive PDX model of ovarian cancer (Kreitzburg and Yoon, unpublished data). The multiple mechanisms of action of tamoxifen and its relatively high therapeutic index provide a strong rationale for combining tamoxifen with FTY720, as a strategy for treating ovarian tumors and circumventing drug resistance\textsuperscript{[50,131,135,136]}. We suggest that therapeutics that promote ceramide accumulation by any of several pathways have broad translational potential.

CONCLUDING REMARKS
As reviewed herein, sphingolipids, enzymes that comprise the S1P pathway, and sphingolipid metabolism have strong influence on the pathogenesis and drug-resistance in ovarian cancer. In summary, the generation and accumulation of ceramide and sphingosine is induced in response to various cellular stresses including chemotherapy, radiation, and/or oxidative stress to mediate cell death, senescence, and/or cell cycle arrest. Conversely, the metabolic conversion of ceramide to S1P, sphingomyelin, or glucosylceramide is mitogenic and inhibits antiapoptotic pathways, thereby promoting the proliferation and drug resistance of cancer cells. Because the sphingolipid metabolic pathway is implicated in multiple biological processes that are recognized to be essential for the development, progression, and drug-resistance of ovarian cancer, therapeutic modulation of sphingolipid metabolism may provide effective antitumor therapies for ovarian cancer\textsuperscript{[13,15]}. 
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